

AO 133 (Rev. 12/09) Bill of Costs

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the  
Eastern District of Texas

Bruce N. Saffran, Plaintiff

v.  
Johnson & Johnson and Cordis Corporation,  
Defendants

Case No.: 2:07-cv-451 (TJW)

FILED  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JUL 19 2013

BY DAVID J. MALAND, CLERK  
DEPUTY

## BILL OF COSTS

Judgment having been entered in the above entitled action on 07/08/2013 against Saffran,  
the Clerk is requested to tax the following as costs:

Fees of the Clerk .....	\$ 455.00
Fees for service of summons and subpoena .....	
Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessarily obtained for use in the case .....	49,733.65
Fees and disbursements for printing .....	
Fees for witnesses (itemize on page two) .....	0.00
Fees for exemplification and the costs of making copies of any materials where the copies are necessarily obtained for use in the case. ....	
Docket fees under 28 U.S.C. 1923 .....	
Costs as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals .....	3,057.92
Compensation of court-appointed experts .....	
Compensation of interpreters and costs of special interpretation services under 28 U.S.C. 1828 .....	
Other costs (please itemize) .....	
TOTAL	\$ 53,246.57

**SPECIAL NOTE:** Attach to your bill an itemization and documentation for requested costs in all categories.

## Declaration

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing costs are correct and were necessarily incurred in this action and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed. A copy of this bill has been served on all parties in the following manner:



Electronic service



First class mail, postage prepaid



Other:

s/ Attorney: /s Scott B. HowardName of Attorney: Scott B. HowardFor: Johnson & Johnson and Cordis Corporation, Defendants  
Name of Claiming PartyDate: 07/18/2013

## Taxation of Costs

Costs are taxed in the amount of \$53,246.57 and included in the judgment.

By:

Shelly Moore  
Deputy ClerkJuly 19, 2013  
DateClerk of Court  
DAVID J. MALAND

Ordinarily, the entry of judgment may not be delayed, nor the time for appeal extended, in order to tax costs or award fees. But if a timely motion for attorney's fees is made under Rule 54(d)(2), the court may act before a notice of appeal has been filed and become effective to order that the motion have the same effect under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4) as a timely motion under Rule 59.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

-----X	:	
BRUCE N. SAFFRAN, M.D., PH.D.,	:	
	:	
<i>Plaintiff</i>	:	
	:	Civil Action No. 2:07-CV-451
v.	:	
	:	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON and CORDIS	:	
CORPORATION,	:	
	:	
<i>Defendants.</i>	:	
-----X	:	

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that on July 11, 15, 16 and 17, 2013 counsel for Johnson & Johnson and Cordis Corporation, represented by Regina Won, and counsel for the plaintiff, represented by Paul Taskier, conferred regarding the items set forth in this Bill of Costs. On July 17, 2013, Plaintiff stated that he does not oppose the submitted Bill of Costs.

/s/ Scott B. Howard

Scott B. Howard

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3). Any other counsel of record will be served by facsimile transmission and/or first class mail this 19<sup>th</sup> of July, 2013.

/s/ Scott B. Howard

Scott B. Howard